### User test reports for SETT project on assistive technology Eneso enPathia (virtual 'head mouse') and Eneso enCore (USB switch interface) supplied by Alpha-Active Ltd

### March 2015

These assistive technology products were evaluated by people with a disability working on the Services for Enabling Technology (SETT) project. The project is a partnership between National Star and Beaumont specialist colleges, funded by JISC (<u>www.jisc.ac.uk</u>), working with developers of assistive technologies to review products, providing feedback to companies and potential users.

The test reports are provided 'as received' without editing by the manufacturer (Eneso) or the supplier and project participant (Alpha-Active Ltd).

Please visit the SETT project web site at: <u>www.producttesting.org.uk</u> where videos may be seen.

National Star College (<u>www.natstar.ac.uk</u>) and Beaumont College (<u>http://www.scope.org.uk/support/services/education/beaumont-college</u>) are Ofsted Grade 1 specialist colleges providing Further Education, supported living and services for people with disabilities and training for professionals. They support people to maximise opportunities for living, occupation and employment and have expertise with assistive technologies for IT Access, communication and independent living.

Further information on the Eneso enPathia (virtual 'head mouse') and the Eneso enCore (USB switch interface) supplied in the UK by Alpha-Active Ltd may be found at: <u>www.alpha-active.com/AT.html</u>.

#### CONTENTS:

Test Report 1: enPathia Test Report 2: enCore Test Report 2: enCore Test Report 2: enCore

### **Test Report 1: enPathia**

Tester Ref:	A1		Company Name: National Star College	
Product Ref:			Product Name: Eneso enPathia- Alpha-Active Ltd.	
Product Description (See product submission form)		Accessible Computer control system. The Eneso enPathia adapted head mouse assistive technology provides computer access for people with restricted mobility or null mobility in their upper extremities. This innovative technology provides a high quality 'virtual' alternative to conventional keyboard and mouse, for hands-free use.		
Features Tested (S product submission	See	Head Mouse		
Target us group (Se product submission	ee	enough m conventiona enPathia w company E volunteers tetraplegia, This is one	e with physical disabilities who does not have obility in the upper extremities to use a al mouse and/or keyboard. as developed by specialist assistive technology Eneso in Spain, together with therapists and with a number of disability pathologies such as: spina bifida, brain paralysis, multiple sclerosis. of the reasons why enPathia is such a versatile to learn system.	

#### Rating Scale: Please tick the relevant box. 1 - Poor 2 - Ok 3 - good 4 - v. good

	Tester Comments			Supervisor comments
Functionality	once calibrated corrected the sensitivity. The Options tab is h Option isn't always of hard to find the Key The introduction wo the plugging in of th	board. uld have been useful o e USB.	vn er on	Needed some supervision to get the Velcro attached before plugging in the USB.
Tester Rating	10		B⊠	4⊠
Ease of setup		slot to plug the wire the instructions	ng	Needed some prompting from the supervisor to try the end of the USB.
Tester Rating	10	2 3	B□	4⊠
Ease of learning	mouse on my screer the calibration was o	earn how to direct the n quite easily. I did fee quite quick and could and option to have a	el	Liam picked up quite quickly to tilt his head rather than to turn Left or Right.
Tester Rating	10	-	3⊠	4□
Initial Setup Support Requirements	on the Testing Lapto	l already been installe pp. I would have been ny own laptop using tl pack.	۱	
Tester Rating	10	2 3	₿⊠	4□
Ongoing Setup Support Requirements	I needed. If I was less patient to find the Menu and took me a while to f	just started to use it self how to find the bit I might have struggle d keyboard options. It ind these. It would be one click option for th	ed t	Liam wanted to try the Head Mouse out without any further assistance.
Tester Rating	10	2 3	₿⊠	4□
Sensory & Tactile aspects	to touch and not irri	ad and move it aroun		
Tester Rating	1 🗆	2 3	<b>B</b> □	4⊠
Physical considerations (e.g. positioning)		when I moved in my eriod of time meaning wasn't consistent.	J	Sensitivity changed with support.

	Finding my resting position was difficult as there wasn't an obvious area to focus my	
	head position back into.	
	The mouse never seemed to stop moving,	
	even when I had. I'm not sure if I had the	
	sensitivity too high?	
Tester Rating	1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🖂	4□
Aesthetic	The device looks really smart in the well	
Appeal	packaged box. Packaged box. The information provided with the device is printed on nice paper, it may need to be able in larger text or as an option on screen for a user to read.	
Tester Rating	<b>1 2 3</b>	4⊠
Robustness	It looks well made, and fairly robust. I would be worried the connecting wire may be susceptible to breakage due to its thickness. I did feel comfortable wearing it that I might have accidentally stood up wearing it and walked off. Would a Bluetooth option be available?	

Tester Rating	<b>1</b> □ <b>2</b> □	3⊠	4□
Possible risks (i.e. health and wellbeing considerations)	Leaving the computer whi device might prove dange I played with the wire as i down at the side of the la have made the connection	rous. t was dangling ptop, so I might	Prompts were given to ensure Liam stayed at the desk with the Head Mouse on.
Tester Rating	1 🗆 2 🛛	3⊠	<b>4</b> □
Compatibility – see developers' notes (Devices? Software?)	It was compatible with the on. I think it would have b own laptop as I run the sa Windows as the College.	been fine on my	<i>May need to be tested from an install?</i>
Tester Rating	1 🗆 2 🗆	3□	4⊠
Conformance to Standards	It appeared to have been packaged by Eneso.	checked and well	
Tester Rating	1□ 2⊠	3□	4□
Training Requirements	None really needed. Just t enabled me to get used to Having a supervisor was u	the device.	
Tester Rating	<b>1</b> □ <b>2</b> □	3□	4⊠
Quality and range of available support materials	I had the Black and White the Quick start paper guid I had the Help tab. I didn't spend much time guide as there was a lot o flicked through the Quick because it was in colour a interesting.	les. On the screen reading the user f paperwork. I Start user guide	Liam would have required some support to have read through the whole user guide. Some of the terminology was un-clear and didn't follow the order of setup on screen.

	I felt I was better to just try the refer to the guide if I needed so or had a query.		
Tester Rating	1 🗆 2 🗆	3⊠	4⊠

#### Summary

	Sammary			
General Usability	It's the first time I have used something like this as I have use of my Left arm. I found it really interesting to use and experiment with. It's not something I would use personally but I can see the benefits to those who don't have use of their Arms.			
Tester Rating		4⊠		
Which features are liked/disliked?	I really liked the on screen Keyboard once I had found it. I liked being able to test a new product and one that I could recommend to some of my friends. I liked the visual display at the beginning of the calibration. The cartoon moving itself to demonstrate what was being asked. This ideally needs to be slowed down to allow for users to complete the task. Being able to setup my own profile was useful and I think each time I used the device I would get better and better at using it. I liked the ability to change the keyboard size and the Quick start guide for reference. There wasn't anything I disliked about the product. It is a good piece of kit for the right user.			

Recommendati ons for use (e.g. contexts; environments)	It would be good for use within a Classroom environment with a PC or a laptop. I could see this being used on a personal device at home or in work.	
Any additional comments:	Tester	Supervisor
Overall Rating	1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🛛	4□

### **Test Report 2: enCore**

Tester Ref:		<b>Date:</b> 12-01-15	<b>Company Name:</b> National Star
Product Ref:			<b>Product Name:</b> EnCore
Product Descripti (See produ submission	<b>on</b> uct	None provided.	
Features Tested (S product submission	iee		functionality k controls.
Target us group (Se product submission	e	None stated	

#### Rating Scale: Please cross the relevant box.

	Tester Comme	nts		Supervisor comments
Functionality	I plugged in the coloured switches to the arrows first. I tested the top four after. Easy to plug in with my support.			Tester left to complete the setup independently. IT Technician called to installed the software on the testing laptop.
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good⊠	ОК□	Poor 🗆
Ease of setup	It was easy. My them I wanted i		as I told	Directed by the Tester- no problems occurred.
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good ⊠	OK□	Poor□
Ease of learning	It was easy- it d it would do. It w switch. When I tested t functions. Left c	vent up when he other four	I pressed th	e
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good 🛛	OK□	Poor
Initial Setup Support Requirements	I had everything There were a lo in an order/trian was choosing.	t of wires. I a	ranged ther	
Tester Rating	Very good	<b>Good</b> ⊠	OK□	Poor 🗆
Ongoing Setup Support Requirements	None, It worked everything.	l when I'd con	nected	
Tester Rating	Very good⊠	Good □	OK□	Poor⊡
Sensory & Tactile aspects	I liked the colou clear until they what did what.			
Tester Rating	Very good □	Good □	OK⊠	Poor
Physical	Too many wires	and not enou	igh	

<b>considerations</b> (e.g. positioning)	information on t	he adaptor.			
Tester Rating	Vory good	Good	ОК□	 Poor⊠	
Aesthetic	Very good It looks very pro				
Appeal	Nice box and co				
Tester Rating	Very good⊠	Good□	ΟΚ□	 Poor⊡	
Robustness	I didn't try to bro could put it in m				
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good ⊠	OK□	<b>Poor</b>	
Possible risks (i.e. health and wellbeing considerations)	The amount of v				
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good □	OK⊠	<b>Poor</b>	
Compatibility – see developers' notes (Devices? Software?)	N/A				
Tester Rating	Very good □	Good□	ОК□	 Poor □	

Training Requirements	I would need to get better at usi go on it for dired	ng it. Labels v			
Tester Rating Quality and range of available support materials	Very good D None. I would p	Good⊠ probably look	OK 🗆 online.	Poor	
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good⊠	OK⊠	 Poor⊡	

### Summary

	Summary	
General Usability	Good	
Tester Rating	Very good□ Good⊠ OK□	Poor 🗆
Which features are liked/disliked?	I liked the packaging and logo, it looks smart.	
Recommendati ons for use (e.g. contexts; environments)		
Any additional	Tester	Supervisor
comments:		The tester has identified some areas for improvement on the product. It would be good to get it labelled up and have switches or a joystick as part of the

				package.	
Overall Rating	Very good□	Good⊠	ОК□	 Poor□	

#### **Test Report 3: enCore**

Tester Ref:	A5	<b>Date:</b> 12-01-15	Company Name: National Star
Product Ref:			Product Name: EnCore- USB Switch Interface
Product Descripti (See produ submission	uct	None supplied.	
Features Tested (S product submission	iee		functionality ck controls.
Target us group (Se product submission	ee	None stated	

#### Rating Scale: Please cross the relevant box.

	Tester Commen	Tester Comments		
Functionality	I plugged in four		oured	Supervisor comments Tester left to complete
	switches into the arrow slots.			the setup independently.
	I tested the othe		ns, left click	IT Technician called to
	right click, double			install the software on
	I had to ask my S			the testing laptop.
	installed.			
Tostor Doting		Good⊠	ОК□	I Poor⊡
Tester Rating	Very good			
Ease of setup	Okay, I just plug			•
	after we have the	e programme	installed.	
Tester Rating	Very good 🗆	Good⊠	ОК□	Poor 🗆
Ease of	It was very easy			
learning	controller for my			
	other functions w		y to use and	
	I'm not sure why	I'd use it?		
Tester Rating	Very good⊠	Good	ОК□	 Poor□
			-	
Initial Setup	The programme	was installed	-	The tester was
Initial Setup Support		was installed	-	The tester was encouraged to have a go
Initial Setup	The programme	was installed	-	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see
Initial Setup Support	The programme	was installed	-	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no
Initial Setup Support	The programme	was installed	-	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product
Initial Setup Support Requirements	The programme Tech dept. It wo	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed	-	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup	The programme Tech dept. It wo	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup Support	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup Support	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup Support	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup Support	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.
Initial Setup Support Requirements Tester Rating Ongoing Setup Support	The programme Tech dept. It wo Very good⊠	was installed rked well.	by the IT	The tester was encouraged to have a go with the product and see what happens- no instructions or product submission completed.

Sensory & Tactile aspects	Lots of wires on the desk, it would need a permanent install to look smarter and be easier to access.
Tester Rating	Very good Good OK Poor
Physical considerations (e.g. positioning)	Well packaged and a nicely labelled adaptor.
Tester Rating	Very good 🛛 Good 🗆 OK 🗆 Poor 🗆
Aesthetic Appeal	It looks smart- but way too many wires.
Tester Rating	Very good Good OK Poor
Robustness	It's made well and appears to be strong and smooth.
<b>Tester Rating</b>	Very good⊠ Good□ OK□ Poor□
Possible risks (i.e. health and wellbeing considerations)	Too many wires may cause a safety risk when trying to use all together or separately as a four.

Tester Rating	Very good□	Good□	ОК⊠	Poor □
Compatibility – see developers' notes (Devices? Software?)	N/A	3000		
<b>Tester Rating</b>	Very good□	Good □	OK□	Poor
Training Requirements	Just practice required support.	uired and init	ial set up	
Tester Rating	Very good⊠	Good	ОК□	Poor
Quality and range of available support materials	None provided v the installation so pack. Very cool c	oftware provi		
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good	OK	Poor⊠

Summary

General Usability	Okay. Needs to be reviewed with number of plug ins.	
Tester Rating Which features are liked/disliked?	Very good □Good ⊠OK □I really liked the drag and drop function.	Poor 🗆

Recommendati ons for use (e.g. contexts; environments)	Review the wires.	
Any additional comments:	Tester	Supervisor
	Easy to setup, install and use. Good functionality; just too many wires and switches for almost all users.	
Overall Rating	Very good □ Good ⊠ OK □	Poor 🗆

#### **Test Report 4: enCore**

Tester Ref:	007	<b>Date:</b> 26.01.2015	Company Name: National Star	
Product Ref:			Product Name: EnCore USB Switch Interface	
Product Descripti (See produ submission	uct	settings includi and speed sett	USB cable (included) and has required software on	
Features Tested (S product submission	iee	<ul> <li>Mouse</li> <li>(left, right, up</li> <li>Mouse</li> <li>(left click , rig</li> </ul>	ccess to replicate: ouse movement t, up down) ouse clicks , right click, double click, drag and drop) eyboard buttons (including shortcuts)	
Target us group (Se product submission	ee		ed switch users /here eye-gaze technology isn't appropriate	

#### Rating Scale: Please cross the relevant box.

	Tester Comments	Supervisor comments
Functionality	Works well as switch adapter, no noticeable problems. Flexible and could be used for a large combination of personalised controls to allow access	
Tester Rating		Poor 🗆
Ease of setup	Not particularly clear initially what was needed, but once the software was located on the CD it was easy to set up. Could have simple instructions on back of case.	
Tester Rating	Very good□ Good□ OK⊠	Poor 🗆
Ease of learning	Straightforward if already familiar with switches; might be too advanced for some learners.	
Tester Rating	Very good⊠ Good□ OK□	Poor
Initial Setup Support Requirements	Software installation required, manual connection of switches and assigning keys using software. Fairly complex but not considering the end purpose	
Tester Rating	Very good□ Good⊠ OK□	Poor 🗆
Ongoing Setup Support Requirements	On second running simply needed to be plugged in and logged onto machine. Software ran automatically.	
Tester Rating	Very good⊠ Good□ OK□	Poor □
Sensory & Tactile aspects	Dependant on switch system chosen, so useful to adapt for different tactile needs.	
Tester Rating	- 1 5	Poor 🗆
Physical considerations (e.g. positioning)	Unusual to have the default "left" switch input to the right of the "right" switch input but otherwise no difficulties. The switch adapter appeared to be robust enough for day to day use.	
Tester Rating		Poor 🗆
Aesthetic Appeal	Hardware: Clearly laid out with symbols made easy to refer to. Software: Is easy to navigate with the same clear symbols.	

Tester Rating	Very good□	Good⊠	ΟΚ□	Poor
Robustness	No difficulties wh	nen testing, ca	ables all	
	remained in place	e.		
Tester Rating	Very good □	Good⊠	OK□	Poor
Possible risks	Only the ones in	herent to usi	ng so many	
(i.e. health and	switches – cablin	g is difficult t	o keep clear.	
wellbeing		-		
considerations)				
_				
Tester Rating	Very good⊠	Good □	ОК□	Poor
Compatibility –	Tested on Winde	ows 8.1 Enter	prise	
see developers'				
notes				
(Devices?				
Software?)				
Tester Rating	Very good □	Good 🛛	ОК□	Poor
Training	Could use trainin	g in switch u	se and how to	D
Requirements	assign keys.	2		
-				
Tester Rating	Very good□	Good 🛛	ОК□	Poor
Quality and	"Quick Start Gui	de" did not ru	In with text.	
range of	Guide on mini-CI			
available	could do with acc			
support	software.	_		
materials				
Tester Rating		Good⊠	OK□	Poor 🗆

Summary

General Usability	Would be useful to have an option to hit a switch combination that would bring up the options – then the user could operate and modify without assistance. Overall the product was clear to use and worked without problems	
Tester Rating	Very good □ Good ⊠ OK □	Poor □
Which features are liked/disliked?	Liked: customisability, ease of use, size, aesthetics and interface. Disliked: lack of mouse speed slider, lack of indicator on software that button has been pressed.	
Recommendati ons for use (e.g. contexts; environments)	Would have to be high functioning to make use of eight switches, probably in an office environment where shortcuts are useful.	
Any additional comments:	Tester	Supervisor
	Could have a removable clip on back of it to help set-up.	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	Very good □ Good ⊠ OK □	Poor 🗆